

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.sciencedirect.com)

Research in Social and Administrative Pharmacy

journal homepage: www.rsap.org

Implementing primary care pharmacist services: Go upstream in the world of value-based payment models



Marie A. Smith

University of Connecticut School of Pharmacy, 69 N. Eagleville Rd – UNIT 3092, Storrs, CT 06269-3092, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 8 August 2016

Received in revised form

7 December 2016

Accepted 7 December 2016

ABSTRACT

There is a shift by payers and health plans away from volume-based payments toward value-based payments that are linked to clinical quality, clinical practice improvement activities, and certified electronic health records technology. These alternative payment programs include fee-for-service with performance-based incentives, advanced payments for care management, shared savings, and population-based payments.

Alternative payment programs that focus on clinical quality and practice improvements are founded on patient-centered care principles and based on team-based care delivery. There will be opportunities to expand primary care teams to address chronic care management, care transitions, and high-risk populations – all of which present medication optimization and management challenges that can be delegated to pharmacists working closely with primary care clinicians.

This commentary will discuss implementation considerations for pharmacist services, standardized documentation of medication-related problems, and “upstream” pharmacist interventions (closest to the point of care) that align with alternative payment models.

© 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Both public (e.g., Medicare and some Medicaid) and commercial health plans are actively pursuing new payment models for primary care providers. These payment models are moving away from the traditional fee-for-service payments toward value-based payments that are based on clinical quality, clinical practice improvement activities, and certified electronic health records (EHR) technology. Some new payment model examples are the use of fee-for-service with performance-based incentives, advanced payments for care management, shared savings, and population-based payments.¹

Alternative payment programs that focus on clinical quality and practice improvements are founded on patient-centered care principles and based on team-based care delivery. Such programs offer opportunities to proactively identify or prevent medication-related problems (MRPs), resolve existing MRPs, and to address the Triple Aim of achieving better care, healthier people, and smarter spending.² With the introduction of alternative payment models, there will be opportunities to expand primary care teams to address medication management challenges that can be delegated to pharmacists working closely with primary care clinicians.

Successful implementation of pharmacist-provided medication management services in primary care practices should be based on the value of pharmacists' unique clinical skills and team-based practice contributions that are aligned with new payment models. This commentary will discuss pharmacist services implementation considerations, standardized documentation of MRPs, and “upstream” pharmacist interventions (closest to the point of care) that align with alternative payment models.

1. Primary care pharmacist services implementation considerations

When pharmacists are integrated with primary care teams, they develop sustained partnerships with patients and their families, as well as with other health care providers. **These ongoing relationships allow pharmacists to focus on patient-specific prescribing options, actual medication use at home, pharmacotherapy management and monitoring, and follow-up on the achievement of desired medication outcomes.** In particular, pharmacists can work with high-risk patients, who use many health care services, and account for a large proportion of total health care costs.

Primary care practices that integrate pharmacists as team members can optimize implementation processes by considering:

E-mail address: marie.smith@uconn.edu.

(1) pharmacist integration options, (2) medication management services that align with patient population and practice needs, (and 3) patient selection priorities.³

1.1. Pharmacist integration

There are several mechanisms to integrate pharmacists with primary care teams. Pharmacists may be employed on the staff of large group practices or those practices that are affiliated with integrated delivery systems. In this model, the practice site pays the salary of the employed pharmacist. Another model is an embedded pharmacist in primary care practices through a co-funded partnership between the practice and a health system or pharmacy school. A shared resource contractual agreement is an approach where the pharmacist provides medication management services for multiple practices that share the costs of the pharmacist. The shared resource approach may benefit smaller practices that may not be able to support a full time pharmacist. With the growth of remote access to electronic medical records, we may see the emergence of a virtual team pharmacist model where the pharmacist is not co-located in the primary care practice and communication with the primary care team may be used for e-consultations or patient interactions through interactive video (telemedicine) or via telephone. This virtual team model may be a practical approach for pharmacists in more rural areas.

1.2. Patient population and practice needs

When a primary care practice is interested in expanding their team to include a pharmacist, here are some implementation questions that should be considered.

How can medication management services:

- improve practice/provider efficiencies or workflows?
- complement the skills of other health care practitioners?
- enhance the practice's ability to meet care quality or performance measures?
- align with care management or population health programs?

1.3. Patient selection

Patients who can benefit most from pharmacist medication management services should not be selected simply based on administrative claims review for highest utilization or costs. Here are some patient selection criteria that should be considered in the implementation process:

- high-risk patients with chronic conditions and multiple comorbidities
- patients with high-risk medications (e.g., Beer's list for elderly patients, high risk for adverse drug events)
- patients with complex medication regimens who have patterns of difficulty taking medications as intended or are living alone/without caregiver support
- patients who have not achieved a treatment goal for a chronic condition
- patients with care transitions (e.g., moving from a hospital, emergency department, urgent care center, nursing facility, assisted living facility, primary care physician care, home health care, or specialist care to another setting or to the patient's home)
- patients who need to be monitored for treatment outcomes or adverse drug events between primary care office visits

- patients with multiple prescribers (especially if the prescribers do not share patient health information)

2. It's all about primary care medication safety

Sometimes, the justification for integrating pharmacist services in primary care settings is the improvement of medication safety. In the US, it is estimated that 4.5 million adverse drug events (ADEs) occur each year, mostly in outpatient office visits.⁴ These ADEs are associated with nearly 400,000 hospitalizations per year. Older patients and those that take 6 or more medications are at increased risk. Another study estimated that the rate of ambulatory ADEs may be as much as 4 times that of ADEs detected in a hospital setting.⁵ However, this represents only those ADEs that generated a physician office visit. While this data is troubling, we know there are many more preventable ADEs or medication errors that go unnoticed or unreported.

So why do we tolerate the *status quo* and accept preventable ADEs and medication errors as mere accidents or consequences of usual care? Compared to hospital medication safety initiatives, little has been done to improve the use and safety of medications in primary care settings. In a fee-for-service world, there is no incentive or payment mechanism to address medication-related problems (MRPs) as part of preventive care or chronic condition programs. There needs to be a greater focus on the prevention and detection of medication-related problems in primary care practices – especially for patients with chronic diseases and those taking multiple medications.⁶

3. Standardizing the classification and documentation of MRPs

As we compare studies that evaluate the implementation of pharmacist-provided medication management services in primary care settings, we need to use a standardized classification of MRPs. For nearly 20 years, the pharmacy profession has had a standardized taxonomy for classifying MRPs.⁷ The major categories of MRPs (i.e., appropriateness, effectiveness, safety, and adherence) and related subcategories are outlined in Fig. 1.

This MRP taxonomy was the foundation for the development of over 300 pharmacy-specific medication management SNOMED codes (i.e., a standardized coding terminology) by the Pharmacy Health Information Technology Collaborative.⁸ SNOMED codes serve as universal languages for software systems and allow proprietary EHR vendors to incorporate standard data codes into their product. **The US National Library of Medicine has approved the medication management SNOMED codes for pharmacists to use when documenting their services.**

Ensuring that pharmacists establish the same clinical coding foundation as other health care providers will help ensure the integration of pharmacist medication management services documentation into the EHR and the national health information technology (HIT) interoperable framework.

As we move toward more team-based care delivery modes, it is vital for pharmacists to be able to document their clinical services so that clinical quality and practice improvement reports can be used evaluate pharmacists' contributions to patient care and medication safety.

4. Go upstream to address MRPs

Medications are the most common treatment for patients with chronic conditions. Most of the potential MRPs in primary care settings need to be addressed both at the point-of-prescribing and between primary care office visits. Several studies in primary or

Medication-related Problem (MRP) Categories	MRP Subtypes
Appropriateness (based on evidence-based guidelines)	Unnecessary drug therapy
	Needs additional therapy
Effectiveness	Ineffective drug therapy
	Dosage too low
Safety	Dosage too high
	Adverse drug event
Adherence	Patient non-adherence/health literacy issue

Fig. 1. Medication-related problem (MRP) taxonomy.

ambulatory care settings have shown between 70 and 80% of MRPs are due to clinician-influenced factors such as medication appropriateness, effectiveness, and safety.^{9–11} Most MRPs in primary care are due to inappropriate drug selection or ineffective doses, followed by ADEs and non-adherence issues. In fact, only 20–30% of MRPs are due to patient-influenced factors such as nonadherence or health literacy challenges. Even if we addressed all the patient non-adherence and health literacy challenges, we would not be tackling the more prevalent MRP issues that involve prescribing, care coordination, clinical monitoring, and medication management.

The MRP categories of appropriateness, effectiveness, and safety are “upstream” clinician-influenced factors (see Fig. 2) that are delivered at the point of care where the clinician has direct interaction with the patient. A sole focus on medication

adherence (in the absence of appropriateness, effectiveness, and safety issues) only considers those “downstream” aspects that are distant from the prescribing event and affected by the patient’s behaviors outside of the clinician-patient interaction. While there is overwhelming attention by the general public and researchers on patient-influenced adherence issues (e.g., forgetfulness, health literacy issues, or unaffordability), the new team-based care delivery and value-based payment models implore us to focus on the “upstream” clinician-influenced factors. Having a focus on “upstream” factors can help to avoid preventable medication errors, drug interactions, and adverse events, as well as reduce unnecessary hospitalizations, readmissions, or emergency department visits. Fig. 2 outlines some medication management strategies to address both upstream and downstream factors.

	MRP Categories	MRP Subtypes	Med Management Strategies
UPSTREAM Clinician-influenced factors 70-80% of MRPs	Appropriateness (based on evidence-based guidelines)	Unnecessary drug therapy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Updated and accurate patient medication list • Medication reconciliation by a trained person at each office visit or patient touchpoint • Medication action plan with treatment goals, drug therapies, monitoring plan • Medication monitoring between office visits for treatment outcomes and adverse drug events • Coordination of any medication changes across all health care providers
		Needs additional therapy	
	Effectiveness	Ineffective drug therapy	
		Dosage too low	
	Safety	Dosage too high	
	Adverse drug event		
DOWNSTREAM Patient-influenced factors 20-30% of MRPs	Adherence	Patient non-adherence, health literacy issue	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assess health literacy challenges • Determine reasons for non-adherence • Mitigate non-adherence factors

Fig. 2. Medication management strategies alignment with MRPs.

5. Alignment with new payment models

Alternative payment models reward performance and quality metrics. Therefore, it makes sense to identify and resolve MRPs “upstream” at the point-of-care to avoid clinical inertia, ADEs, medication errors, and prevent more costly “downstream” care such as hospitalizations, readmissions, or emergency room visits.

Primary care providers are estimated to spend approximately 37% of their time on activities related to chronic care management, which often includes managing complex medication regimens.¹² Typically, primary care providers do not have sufficient time to obtain, verify, or discuss extensive medication lists with a patient during a routine office visit. The presumably up-to-date medication lists obtained in a busy primary care practice are often incomplete or inaccurate. Studies have found that approximately 35% of medication lists in a patients' medical records have discrepancies and do not reflect the actual medications that patients' use at home.¹³ For example, medications from other prescribers or over-the-counter medications or herbal products may be missing, dosages may have changed and are not updated, medications that have been discontinued by the prescriber are still listed, and medications that the patient is not taking remain on the medication list. These shortcomings with inaccurate or incomplete medication lists can contribute to inappropriate or unsafe medication decision making.

In addition to providing direct patient care, pharmacists are often essential members of committees within a medical home or accountable care organization. Some examples of relevant committees for pharmacist involvement include pharmacy and therapeutics, quality improvement, patient safety, data analytics, or performance improvement. A pharmacist can bring an important perspective to these committees that review appropriate medication utilization, set organizational policies, or make recommendations to improve organizational performance on medication-related metrics. These committee assignments can provide the pharmacist with access to organizational data and leadership support that can present new opportunities for expansion of services or adjustment of current services to meet the needs of the practice and patients.

Most value-based payment programs have very specific performance metrics for clinical services (i.e., care management programs to minimize uncontrolled blood pressure or blood glucose) or medication utilization (i.e., generic prescribing rates, formulary management for expensive drugs). Pharmacists can be instrumental in prioritizing services that are aligned with these performance metrics so that the practice/organization is optimizing new payment models in a tangible manner. **A good starting point for a pharmacist is to discuss with the organization's leaders those performance metrics in value-based contracts that are related to appropriate and safe medication use. The** pharmacist can then

develop clinical services that can directly impact or improve the organization's performance in meeting these critical performance metrics.

Although the involvement of pharmacists in emerging alternative payment models is still evolving, the movement to value-based payment models (e.g., fee-for-service linked to quality and value performance, chronic care management fees, global payments, shared savings, and population-based payments) could be used to financially support pharmacist-provided services for medication optimization and safety. These new payment models may provide ongoing revenue through chronic care management fees or performance payments that are designed to expand clinical teams, including primary care teams. Team-based medication management services that align with new payment models based on quality improvement, cost effectiveness, and patient engagement are a trend that can present new opportunities for the integration of clinical pharmacist services in medical homes and accountable care organizations.

References

1. Alternative Payment Model Framework and Progress Tracking (APM FPT) Work Group. Alternative Payment Model (APM) Framework Final White Paper. <https://hcp-lan.org/workproducts/apm-whitepaper.pdf> (Accessed 01 July 2016).
2. Berwick DM, Nolan TW, Whittington J. The Triple Aim: care, health, and cost. *Health Aff.* 2008;27(no.3):759–769.
3. Smith M, Bates DW, Bodenheimer TS. Pharmacists belong in accountable care organizations and integrated care teams. *Health Aff.* 2013;11:1963–1970.
4. Sarkar U1, López A, Maselli JH, Gonzales R. Adverse drug events in U.S. adult ambulatory medical care. *Health Serv Res.* 2011 Oct;46(5):1517–1533.
5. Gandhi T, Weingart S, Borus J, et al. Adverse drug events in ambulatory care. *N Engl J Med.* 2003;1556–1564.
6. Smith M, Giuliano MR, Starkowski MP. Connecticut: improving patient medication management in primary care. *Health Aff.* 2011;30(no 4):646–654.
7. Cipolle RJ, Strand LM, Morley PC. *Pharmaceutical Care Practice: The Patient-Centered Approach to Medication Management*. third ed. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill; 2012.
8. Pharmacy Health Information Technology Collaborative. *Documenting Comprehensive Medication Management in Team-based Models Using SNOMED CT Codes*; August 1, 2014. <http://www.pharmacyhit.org/pdfs/workshop-documents/WG2-Post-2014-03.pdf> (Accessed 20 June 2016).
9. Smith M, Giuliano MR, Starkowski MP. Connecticut: improving patient medication management in primary care. *Health Aff.* 2011;30(no 4):646–654.
10. Sorenson TD, Pestka DL, Brummel AR, Rehauer DJ, Ekstrand MJ. Seeing the forest through the trees: improving adherence alone will not optimize medication use. *J Manag Care Spec Pharm.* 2016;22(5):598–604.
11. Chen S. *Integration of Pharmacists in Primary Care. Webinar Presentation at UCSF Center on Primary Care Excellence*; May 12, 2015. <http://www.careinnovations.org/knowledge-center/facilitating-care-integration/webinars> (Accessed 02 June 2016).
12. Chorob A, Bodenheimer T. Sharing the care to improve access to primary care. *N Engl J Med.* 2012;366(21):1955–1957.
13. Olson MD, Tong GL, Steiner BD, Viera AJ, Ashkin E, Newton WP. Medication documentation in a primary care network serving North Carolina medicaid patients: results of a cross-sectional chart review. *BMC Fam Pract.* 2012 Aug 13;13:83. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2296-13-83>.